



Figure 3. Absorbance profile at pH 5.6 (0.01 M phosphate buffer): A, **3** + **4** (1:1 mole equivalents) in 1 M NaCl (●), 0.1 M NaCl (◻), no NaCl (○); B, **3a** + **4** (1:1 mole equivalents) in 1 M NaCl (●), 0.1 M NaCl (◻), no NaCl (○). The dashed line in A gives absorbance data for **3** + **3a** (1:1 mole equivalents) at pH 5.6, no NaCl.

of hybrids involving ribonucleotide polymers.

An ability to modulate sensitivity to changes in ionic strength by controlling the ratio of plus and minus charges in the probe is demonstrated by the experiments with **2** (Figure 2). In this case, in which the charges in the probe are balanced, binding to polydA is essentially independent of the salt concentration.

The most revealing data are those for interaction of the mixed-base probe, **3**, and the natural counterpart, **3a**, with target **4**. Use of the less hindered anchoring moiety ($-\text{NH}-$ in place of $-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)-$) favors binding and the weaker basic group (morpholino in place of dimethylamino) allows greater control over protonation. Thermal dissociation curves (pH 5.6) are sigmoidal and clearly demonstrate reversal in the effect of salt concentration on binding affinity (Figure 3). With an increase in concentration of NaCl from 0 to 0.1 to 1.0 M, T_m values for the complex of cationic analogue **3** decreased from 32.5° to 27.5° to 15° whereas the T_m values for the complex of oligonucleotide **3a** increased from <10° to 22.5° to 38°. The difference in affinities of the cationic and natural probes at low salt concentration is especially striking. Lack of significant interaction in a control experiment with an equimolar mixture of **3** and **3a** (non-complementary oligomers) confirmed that proper base pairing as well as electrostatic attraction is necessary for formation of a stable hypochromic complex from the cationic and anionic oligomers.

In addition, we found that the binding properties of the morpholino probe could be selectively influenced by pH changes. Thus,

(3) Caruthers, M. H.; Beaucage, S. L.; Becker, C.; Efcavitch, W.; Fisher, E. F.; Galluppi, G.; Goldman, R.; de Haseth, P.; Martin, F.; Matteucci, M. D.; Stabinsky, Y. *Genetic Engineering*; Setlow, J. K., Hollaender, A., Eds.; Plenum: New York, 1982; Vol. 4, pp 1-17.

(4) Froehler, B. C.; Matteucci, M. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 469-472.

(5) Froehler, B. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 5575-5579.

(6) T_m specifies the temperature corresponding to the midpoint of the region of maximum slope in the plot of A_{260} vs temperature.

an increase in pH to 7.0 had little effect on the affinity of **3a** for **4** (T_m < 10° in 0 M NaCl, T_m 20° in 0.1 M NaCl) but strongly destabilized the complex between **3** and **4** (T_m 17° in 0 M NaCl, T_m 12° in 0.1 M NaCl). This effect reflects a low extent of protonation of the morpholino groups at pH 7 (see electrophoresis data in Table I).

These findings open new possibilities for designing oligonucleotide probes and may have relevance in controlling processes in biochemical and biological systems.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by Grants GM10265 and AI24846 from the National Institutes of Health.

Tautomerization Involving M-H-M and C-H-M Interactions in Capped Trimetal Clusters. Promotion of the C-H-M Interaction in a Mixed-Metal Cluster

Reynaldo D. Barreto and Thomas P. Fehlner*

Department of Chemistry, University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

Received February 8, 1988

Agostic hydrogens, hydrogens bridging carbon and transition-metal centers, are now well-known structural features of mono- and polynuclear transition-metal compounds.^{1,2} Although the factors promoting an E-H-M interaction (E = main group atom) in the capped trimetal cluster system are complex,³ it is self-evident from a comparison of $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{EH}_n$, E = C, $n = 4$, and E = B, $n = 5$, that the effective nuclear charge difference between the metals and the capping atom is one factor that plays a large role in the formation E-H-M interactions.⁴ Hence, we have sought isoelectronic clusters with endo hydrogens, which differ only in the identity of the metal atoms.

The $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CH}_3\text{R}$ (I), R = H, cluster exists as three tautomers in solution: $(\mu\text{-H})_3\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CR}$ (Ia); $(\mu\text{-H})_2\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-HCR})$ (Ib); and $(\mu\text{-H})\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-H}_2\text{CR})$ (Ic) in the relative abundances 16:3:1 at 20 °C.⁵ On the basis of a comparison of system I with the isoelectronic ferraborane, we would expect that replacing one or two FeH units with Co would favor tautomer Ib over Ia.⁶ Indeed neutral mixed metal clusters $\text{FeCo}_2(\text{CO})_9\text{CHR}$ (II), R = Me, Et, and Ph, are known.⁷ In contrast to our prediction, the single endo hydrogen has been assigned a position associated with the trimetal face on the basis of ¹H NMR

(1) Brookhart, M.; Green, M. L. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, 250, 395. Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, 85, 245.

(2) Tautomeric equilibria involving C-H-M and M-H-M hydrogens have been characterized (Calvert, R. B.; Shapley, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, 99, 5225. Calvert, R. B.; Shapley, J. R.; Schultz, A. J.; Williams, J. M.; Suib, S. L.; Stucky, G. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, 100, 6240. Calvert, R. B.; Shapley, J. R. *Ibid.* **1978**, 100, 7726. Cowie, A. G.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, 306, C63). Agostic hydrogens have been identified as features of important intermediates in the reactions of carbon capped trinuclear transition metal cluster (Dugan, T. P.; Barnett, D. J.; Muscatella, M. J.; Keister, J. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 6076. Bower, D. K.; Keister, J. B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, 312, C33. VanderVelde, D. G.; Holmgren, J. S.; Shapley, J. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, 26, 3077). An agostic interaction is promoted by deprotonation in the iron system (Vites, J. C.; Jacobsen, G.; Dutta, T. K.; Fehlner, T. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 5563).

(3) Lynam, M. M.; Chipman, D. M.; Barreto, R. D.; Fehlner, T. P. *Organometallics* **1987**, 6, 2405.

(4) Vites, J. C.; Housecroft, C. E.; Eigenbrot, C.; Buhl, M. L.; Long, G. J.; Fehlner, T. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 3304.

(5) Dutta, T. K.; Vites, J. C.; Jacobsen, G. B.; Fehlner, T. P. *Organometallics*, **1987**, 6, 842.

(6) Note that $(\mu\text{-H})_3\text{M}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CH}$ is the only tautomer observed for M = Ru, Os. Eady, C. R.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1977**, 477. Deeming, A. J.; Underhill, M. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1973**, 277.

(7) Epstein, R. A.; Withers, H. W.; Geoffroy, G. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, 18, 942.